

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MEDIA TEK INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FREESCALE SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 11-cv-5341 YGR

**ORDER RULING ON OBJECTIONS TO SLIDES
OFFERED AS DEMONSTRATIVES IN
CONNECTION WITH FREESCALE'S EXPERT'S
TESTIMONY**

The Court **ORDERS** as follows on MediaTek's objections to Freescale's demonstrative slides to be used in connection with the testimony of Dr. Frank Vahid:

Slide/DDX5-#	Ruling
6	Stricken in part. Only the portion of the slide re DVFS may remain in.
9	Figure stricken. Not supported by paragraphs of expert report referenced or citations therein
10	Both figures stricken. Not supported by paragraphs of expert report referenced or citations therein.
11& 12	Stricken. As stated on the record, Freescale cannot use this slide. Court must be able to consider all the underlying documents it purports to summarize.
13	Allowed.
14	Allowed.
15	Withdrawn.
16	Stricken. This summary slide needs to be revised to conform to the Court's rulings.

27	Withdrawn.
33	Stricken.
36	Revise “servant” per the Court’s instruction on the record.
37, 38	Revise “servant” per the Court’s instruction on the record; Reserve on the remainder in light of ruling on Slides 39 and 41.
39 and 41	Stricken. Not supported by paragraphs of expert report referenced or citations therein.
40	No objection.
43 and 47	Freescall has withdrawn animation on the right-hand side, as stated on the record.
44, 45, 46	Headings to be changed, as stated on the record.
52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 59	Stricken without prejudice to Freescall revising to comport with citations from experts’ reports.
56	Stricken without prejudice to Freescall describing purpose of the slide.
62-66	Stricken.
67, 68	Reserved.
69, 70	Stricken. Summary slide to be revised based upon Court’s rulings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 10, 2014


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE